

△ NEWSLETTER △

D I V I S I O N O F C L I N I C A L A N D A B N O R M A L P S Y C H O L O G Y

October 1953

Vol. 7 No. 1

Editors: William A. Hunt
Ann Magaret Garner

President's Message

The Division looks forward to a peaceful, happy and busy year. We are entering a period of productive maturity, secure in our strength and cautiously hopeful of our wisdom. The time seems to have arrived for radical experimentation with the annual program and the Program Committee is proceeding accordingly. Under the benign encouragement of the A.P.A., your executive committee is exploring the possibilities of increased Divisional autonomy. Your other committees are busy on our many, ever-present professional problems. It should be a good year.

William A. Hunt

MINUTES OF THE OUTGOING EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING - September 4, 1953

Members of the Executive Committee present: Garner, Hobbs, Holt, Hunt, Macfarlane, Zubin.
Members of the Executive Committee absent: Beck, Mowrer, Harris, Tolman
Committee chairmen present: Ellis, Grummon, Kaback, Rabin, Seeman, Symonds, Thompson.

The Executive Committee was called to order by Dr. Hunt at 1:40. The following committee reports were received and discussed:

AUDITING COMMITTEE: The Secretary-Treasurer, reporting for the auditors, stated that the books were in order and approved. (The financial report of the Division is to be found on Page 10 of the Newsletter). The budget as published in the August Newsletter was recommended to the membership.

SUB-COMMITTEE ON MEMBERSHIP: Dr. Macfarlane recalled to the group that responses received from members concerning this report, and pointed out that most of the objections indicated a wish for less face-to-face clinical activity in the membership requirements. Dr. Macfarlane felt that since the entire problem of membership status is being reviewed by the APA's Policy and Planning Board, the Division might defer its action until the total APA acts. Considerable discussion followed this introduction. Dr. Grummon, retiring chairman of the Membership Committee, appealed for better definition of the membership requirements. There was some feeling that the opinion of this Division about membership standards might well be helpful to the APA in making its changes. Considerable time was spent discussing the possible interrelationships of ABEPP certification and Division 12 fellowship. Some discussants felt that the two should be closely interrelated, with ABEPP diplomate status being a requirement

for Fellowship; others pointed out that this would be unjust to persons in the teaching area who were not prepared to obtain ABEPP certification. A suggestion was made that two avenues to fellowship might be devised for this Division, one for those in clinical work and one, equally rigorous, for those in research in the field of clinical and abnormal psychology.

COMMITTEE ON INTEREST GROUPS: Dr. Symonds, reporting for his committee, stated that an invitation to interest groups to communicate with his committee had brought forth little response, and that he felt the committee should be dissolved. After some discussion, the Executive Committee concluded that the need for such a committee no longer existed, and accepted Dr. Symonds' recommendation with thanks for his work in this connection.

PROGRAM COMMITTEE: Dr. Hunt pointed out the increasing interest among members in symposia rather than papers at the annual meeting. He informed the Executive Committee that the APA Board of Directors had asked the Divisions for their opinion regarding the kind of program they would like.

COMMITTEE ON POST-DOCTORAL INSTITUTES: Dr. Kaback reported that 21 participants had attended the 1953 institute and that the committee felt the institute had been successful and recommended the continuance of the Post-Doctoral Institutes. Some discussion of the possibility of organizing and financing such institutes through other sponsors than the Division followed. It was pointed out that the original hope of the Division in establishing its institutes was that other groups would be stimulated to organize similar training facilities. The possibility of having University departments sponsor such institutes was explored to some extent. As part of the report of this committee, a number of participants in the 1953 Divisional institute spoke of the value they had found in attending the sessions.

COMMITTEE ON PSYCHOTHERAPY: Dr. Seeman reported that his committee was beginning to get some returns from its requests for verbatim therapy interviews. It was the feeling of Dr. Seeman's committee that although there had been many initial problems in setting up the program, now it appeared that the protocols were going to be useful for training purposes. The committee hopes that some centralized scheme for distributing the materials can be worked out. The discussion of the work of this committee brought forth several suggestions: that the committee consider setting criteria for the collection of protocols, that this project be considered a pilot study, perhaps to be taken over later by some other body, and that the committee keep a record of its procedures, in case other groups might face some of the same problems later.

COMMITTEE ON PRIVATE PRACTICE: Dr. Ellis stated that his committee's report on standards for unsupervised practice would be published in the September AMERICAN PSYCHOLOGIST. It was suggested that ABEPP diplomate status might well be considered a qualification for independent private practice. Thus any person who has ABEPP certification would expect the support of his profession in his practice. The difficulties which such a requirement would create, in view of the fact that many persons have not yet taken the ABEPP examinations, was pointed out.

COMMITTEE ON REGIONAL MEETINGS: Dr. Thompson presented her committee's official report (see page 6) She raised the question of the advisability of holding special Divisional sessions at the time of the regional meetings; the Executive Committee felt that this was a logical time for such meetings. She also requested earlier appointment of committee members, so that committee activities could get under way earlier.

COMMITTEE ON TEACHING CLINICAL PSYCHOLOGY: Dr. Rabin reported that his committee had completed its work of compiling a complete bibliography of doctoral dissertations since 1948 and had classified these. The trends represented in the study are to be published in the AMERICAN PSYCHOLOGIST in the spring. Meanwhile copies of the bibliography are available from Dr. Rabin.

The remainder of the meeting was devoted to a discussion of the issues to be discussed in the APA Council, and to ways of insuring that the attitudes and wishes of the Divisional membership be expressed to the Council. It was pointed out that the APA Board of Directors was concerned over the problem of Divisional autonomy. Although the Board feels that Divisions have more autonomy than they realize, still it was considered desirable to request that Divisions discuss this issue and communicate with the Board concerning it. Two matters touching upon the general problem of autonomy, for example, are the question of the return of unexpended Divisional funds to the APA, and the propriety or possibility of a Division's founding its own journal. Some general discussion of these points followed. It was suggested that the matter of insuring just Divisional representation in the APA presidency be referred to the Policy and Planning Board for study.

The meeting was adjourned at 5:00 PM.

MINUTES OF THE ANNUAL BUSINESS MEETING -- September 5, 1953

The first items of business for the annual meeting were the presentation and discussion of the various committee reports. The reports of the Secretary-Treasurer, of the Auditing Committee, the Program Committee, the Committee on Diagnostic Devices, the Committee on Post-Doctoral Institutes, the Committee on Research, the Regional Meetings Committee and the Committee on Teaching Clinical Psychology, were presented and accepted with thanks. There was little discussion of these reports from the floor.

The report of the Committee on Nominations and Elections included the announcement of the newly-elected officers of the Division:

President-Elect:	Harold M. Hildreth
Divisional Representative to the APA Council:	Anne Roe and Victor Rainy
Member-at-Large of the Executive Committee:	George Klein

The report of the Membership Committee presented by Dr. Grummon, contained the nominations of candidates for Fellowship and Associate status in the Division (see pages 8, 9 & 10). Dr. Grummon described the difficulty of one committee's processing the increasingly large number of membership applications, and requested the membership and the Executive Committee to consider ways of meeting this problem. He also moved that the deadline for receipt of applications for associates be moved back from February 15 to October 1st, in line with the change in APA procedure for Fellows. The motion was seconded and carried. The effect of this change is to set the deadline for the receipt of application blanks for both Associates and Fellows in the Division at October 1. For associates, the new deadline goes into effect October 1, 1954, for associates who wish to be considered in the fall of 1955; it remains at February 15, 1954, for associates who wish to be considered in the fall of 1954. For fellows, the new deadline, as determined by APA action, went into effect October 1, 1953.

The report of the Sub-Committee on Membership Standards, presented by Dr. Macfarlane, aroused considerable discussion from the floor. Some members spoke in favor of the first philosophy of membership suggested by the committee; that membership in the Division be predicated largely upon interest. Others felt that, until ABEPP's activities were more widespread, the Division would have to continue to appraise qualifications and clinical competence as well as interest. It was pointed out that Divisional membership is often taken as a presumption of clinical competence, even though the more official statement of competence is that made by

ABEPP. Many members spoke strongly in opposition to making the Divisional membership committees into certifying Boards. On the other hand, it was generally recognized that the public perception of Division members is one which implies qualification in clinical skills and the acceptance of members as competent by their colleagues in the field. It seemed plain from the discussion that the diversity of opinion was so great as to prevent any action at the present time. The report of the committee was accepted with thanks.

Dr. Kelly, reporting for the Committee on Interprofessional Relations, summarized the activities of the year in the field of legislation and of relations with psychiatry. He described the series of meetings between representatives of the American Psychological Association and of the American Psychiatric Association, held in an effort to obtain further understanding between the two professions. He also pointed out that the revised report of the Ad-Hoc Committee on Relations with Other Professions had been submitted to the APA Council for approval, and that this report made definite statements of policy on many professional issues.

The Committee on Private Practice will publish its report on standards for the unsupervised practice of clinical psychologists in the AMERICAN PSYCHOLOGIST. Dr. Ellis, reporting for his committee, raised the question of the definite statement in the Ad Hoc Committee's report concerning independent practice. Dr. Kelly interpreted the Ad Hoc Committee's statement for the group. He pointed out that the implication of the statement was that persons holding ABEPP diplomate status would be in a position to seek support from their psychological colleagues if difficulties arose during their independent practice; persons not holding such status who entered independent private practice would themselves have the burden of proving that they deserved the support of the association in case of difficulty.

The remainder of the meeting time was given over to a discussion of such special issues as were being considered by the APA Council, with a view to informing the Divisional Representatives to the Council of the wishes of the membership. Dr. Zubin, reporting for the Divisional Representatives, presented the problems of divisional autonomy and of convention planning for general discussion. There was long consideration of the topic of convention program organization, with many opinions being expressed in favor of experimentation with new types of program offerings. Dr. Klein moved that the Division instruct the Program Committee to explore more freely and vigorously the type of presentation, and even the structure of the entire time allotment for the program of the Division. The motion was seconded and carried. Dr. Hildreth, the new chairman of the Divisional Program Committee, obtained a straw vote on certain issues concerning the program: e.g., how many persons would fail to obtain travel expenses to meetings if the number of papers were curtailed, how many would favor the presentation of some papers by abstract only, with authors present for questioning, how many would favor special interest sessions of one hour, and how many would favor a smaller number of papers and more discussion time. It was suggested that perhaps an invited speaker could cover research trends in a given field; this suggestion was opposed by some who felt the function of the meetings was to provide opportunity for face-to-face exchange of opinion.

The Secretary-Treasurer outlined the problem of the return of unexpended funds from Divisional accounts to the APA account. This is never a real problem for Division 12, since we spend the APA moneys well in advance of the end of the fiscal year, and depend most heavily on the income from the special Divisional assessment.

It was reported that the second informal discussion meeting of members with the officers and representatives of the Division had been held and that a very lively and full discussion of Divisional issues had gone on among the forty or fifty persons present.

A lengthy discussion of the question of proper representation of the various Divisions in the APA presidency followed. Some members felt that Division 12 should suggest means of ensuring

that no one Division of the APA should be represented by the APA presidency two years in succession, while others felt that the free election of APA presidents should proceed as in the past. A motion to the effect that the Division recommended that no two successive presidents of the APA should be from the same Division was made and seconded, but tabled for extended discussion.

INCOMING EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING -- September 8, 1953

The major business of the Incoming Executive Committee was the appointment of Divisional Committee members, and discussion of the activities which the committees might undertake. The following committee members, appointed by the Executive Committee, have signified their acceptance of the appointment as of this date. Additions to these lists will be made as acceptances are received.

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE:

William A. Hunt, President
 Harold M. Hildreth, President-elect
 O. Hobart Mowrer, Past-President
 Ann Margaret Garner, Secretary-Treasurer
 Nicholas Hobbs, Division Representative
 Ann Margaret Garner, Divisional Representative
 Victor C. Raimy, Division Representative
 Anne Roe, Division Representative
 Ruth Tolman, Division Representative
 Joseph Zubin, Division Representative
 George S. Klein, Member-at-Large of Exec. Comm.
 James G. Miller, Member-at-Large of the Exec. Comm.

Auditing Committee:

Stanley Marzolf, Chairman
 Frances S. Perce

Committee on Membership:

Charles R. Strother, Chairman
 Robert I. Watson
 Ruth Hubbard
 Wally Reichenberg-Hackett

Advisory Committee on Associate Applications:

Ruth Hubbard, Chairman
 Saul Sells
 Ann Margaret Garner

Committee on Program:

Harold M. Hildreth, Chairman
 S. L. Garfield
 S. B. Kutash

Sub-Committee on Convention Program:

George S. Klein, Chairman
 Harrison G. Gough
 William Henry
 Philip S. Holzman
 Eliot H. Rodnick

Diagnostic Devices Committee:

Edwin S. Shneidman, Chairman
 H. B. Molish
 Gerald S. Blum

Committee on Post-Doctoral Institutes:

Edward J. Shoben, Jr., Chairman
 Goldie R. Kaback
 Walter G. Klopfer
 Edith Lord
 Howard R. White

Private Practice Committee:

Emanuel K. Schwartz, Chairman
 Katherine Bradway
 W. R. King
 Mary S. Kunst
 T. W. Richards
 Robert A. Young
 Bohdan Zawadzki

Psychotherapy Committee:

Julius Seeman, Chairman

Regional Meetings Committee:

Ija J. Korner, Chairman
 Chester C. Bennett
 Marshall R. Jones
 Ivan N. Mensh
 Lawrence S. Rogers
 William R. Grove

Research Committee:

Maurice Lorr, Chairman
 Eli H. Rubinstein
 E. Lakin Phillips
 Aaron B. Nadel

Report of the Committee on Post-Doctoral Institutes

First, the twenty-one participants of the 4th annual Post-Doctoral Institute wish to take this opportunity to thank Division 12 and 17 for their cooperation and assistance in helping to plan and publicize the Institute.

We would also like to take this opportunity to report to the members of Division 12 our feelings and attitudes regarding the recent Institute and our recommendations for the continuation of annual Post-Doctoral Institutes.

Drs. Zubin and May, this year's seminar leaders, provided a most stimulating and rewarding learning experience for all of us.

Both the individual seminars and the combined group meetings will long serve as sources of deep professional inspiration.

More specifically the 4th annual Post-Doctoral Institute provided a forum for the review of research and clinical techniques, recent developments in psychotherapeutic procedures etc.

This Institute enabled members (and their families) from all parts of the country to meet informally in order to exchange ideas, to associate and to work together.

We, who have participated in these Institutes feel that they have been a most worthwhile endeavor and a real credit to the Division. We recommend that they be continued.

We feel that some of the important characteristics of the Post-Doctoral Institutes are that they are held at a central point convenient to the annual meeting place of the APA and for a short concentrated period of time.

We recommend that these Post-Doctoral Institutes continue to be self-supporting, self-administering -- by democratically organized groups of members who desire to come together for the exchange of current thinking in the field.

We offer the following suggestions for the 1954 Post-Doctoral Institute:

1. Research Techniques for the Clinical Psychologist
2. Child Therapy
3. Adolescent Therapy
4. Group Psychotherapy
5. Current Trends in Neurological Research
6. Psychodiagnosis and Therapy for the Psychotic

Unanimously approved by the twenty-one participants.

Participants' Committee:

Reuben Horlick Glenn Ramsey
Fanny Montalto Goldie Kaback

Report of the Regional Meetings Committee

This Committee is still learning ways in which it can be of use to the Division. This year activities were held in connection with the meeting of every Regional Association, but the character of these activities showed wide variation. Discussion meetings of Division affairs and current problems in clinical psychology were held at both MPA and WPA and the Committee sponsored a symposium on "The Meaning of Psychological Health" at EPA. A Committee member served as a member of the Planning Committee of the Southern Regional

Conference on Psychological Services. One Committee member cooperated with the Committee on Practicum Training of the E & T Board to arrange a meeting of chiefs of practicum training agencies at the Rocky Mountain Meetings. Another Committee member cooperated with the Committee on Psychology in Other Professional Schools of the E & T Board to arrange regional meetings of psychologists in medical education at the EPA and MPA meetings, and a meeting at APA to discuss problems in this area.

Clare W. Thompson, chairman	Marshall R. Jones
Chester C. Bennett	Kate L. Kogan
C. H. Calhoon	Ija N. Korner
Charles N. Cofer	Ivan N. Mensh
William R. Grove	Lawrence S. Rogers

Functions of the Research Committee

The discussions of the Committee have been directed primarily towards the determination of its functions. Available reports of the previous research committees were carefully examined and considered. The functions selected for recommendation appear to be feasible within limitations of the time and energy of the Committee members and apparently are not performed by any other group.

Recommended Functions

The principal functions of the Research Committee will be as follows:

- A. To bring to the attention of the Division each year those critical areas in clinical and abnormal psychology which appear to be in greatest need of investigation, study or development.

It is a truism that individual research is becoming increasingly specialized. Students, teachers, research workers, and practicing clinicians often express the desire for guidance as to the areas in their field which could most profitably be explored and studied.

Basic research and clinical practice would both benefit from a listing of critical problems, areas or methods overlooked, underdeveloped, or in need of special attention.

- B. To facilitate the coordination of research among Division members.

The philosophy of the Research Committee is that creative scientific work can and should be coordinated and integrated but never controlled or regimented. Towards the end of coordination, the Committee can stimulate and provide optimal conditions for research workers to get together on common problems and pool their resources, time and skills.

- C. To stimulate and improve the interchange of scientific information among members of the Division.

Information as to the problems that other research people in the field are currently working on is of obvious importance. It stimulates communication between research workers, it promotes the integration of the scientific community and quickens the interchange of facts, theories, and techniques.

Procedures for Implementing Functions

Function A

- (1) Each year your Committee, with the aid of broadly representative groups in 3 or 4 areas

of the country (say, San Francisco, Chicago, Washington and St. Louis) will review current research and make a listing, in order of importance, of 6 to 10 areas most in need of attention or investigation by members of the Division. The Research Committee will forward a combined listing to the Division.

(2) In the light of these critical areas of theory, methodology, or clinical practice, special symposia will be promoted by the Committee for recommendation to the Division.

(3) Conferences extending over as much as a week in length, concerning broad critical topics, will be recommended to the Division. Financial support could probably be secured from Federal or state agencies. Illustrative are such topics as the treatment of the schizophrenic, learning theory in relation to psychotherapy, statistical techniques for handling test patterns, or basic variables on psychopathology.

(4) A list of research projects that seem desirable will be prepared for circulation. The recommended projects will arise out of the problems suggested by the list of critical areas.

Function B

(1) Division members will be asked to send to the Committee their suggestions, from which the most popular ones will be selected for action.

(2) The committee will arrange, through Division, for several meetings at the American Psychological Association annual convention, for interested persons to get together and consider the feasibility of pooling data. Clearly the ego-involved research worker is far more likely to collect reliable and valid data than one who receives no recognition and participates by administrative order.

Function C

(1) The National Science Foundation will be contacted by your Committee with a view to exploring the objective of improving the exchange of information on research studies in progress.

(2) The Committee will act as an intermediary for universities and Federal and state agencies to send listings of research under way in the area of abnormal and clinical psychology, to the National Science Foundation.

The Committee believes that the present procedure of including members only from a simple geographic area is a practical one despite its limitations in terms of representation. It recommends that each member be given a 3-year term and that a rotational procedure be established to provide continuity to the Committee.

Richard Q. Bell
Charles Cofer

Maurice Lorr, Chairman
Frederick Zehr

ACTION ON MEMBERSHIP

The following applicants for Fellowship status were approved by a vote of the membership at the Annual Meeting: (This vote constitutes only a recommendation to the APA Board of Directors. Nomination for election is then made to the Council of Representatives.)

Alpert, Augusta
Andrus, Ruth
Arsenian, John
Ausubel, David P.

Baldwin, Marcella V.
Bell, Alice E.
Bieliauskas, V. J.
Billig, Albert L.

Brower, Daniel
Brown, Thelma E.
Clark, Jerry H.
DiMichael, Salvatore G.

Eiserer, Paul
Fuller, Dorothy S.
Glaser, Nathan M.
Hamlin, Roy M.
Hobbs, Nicholas
Holzman, Eleanore M. G.
Hughes, Robert M.
Kates, Solis L.
Katz, Evelyn
Klebanoff, Seymour G.

Korner, Anneliese F.
Lord, Edith E.
Luborsky, Lester B.
Martin, Elmore A.
Mench, Ivan Norman
Muench, George A.
Myklebust, Helmer R.
Newburger, Maurice
Norman, Ralph D.
Pascal, Gerald R.

Pellettieri, A. J.
Scherer, Isidor W.
Shneidman, Edwin S.
Spaulding, Velma V.
Stewart, Barbara S.
Storment-Seymour, C. T.
Topper, Robert C.
Ullmann, Charles A.
Wheeler, William M.
Zehrer, Frederick A.

By a vote of the membership the following persons were elected to Associate status in the Division:

Alexander, Theron
Allerhand, Melvin E.
Alsofrom, R. K.
Auld, Benjamin F.
Baird, Leland M.
Baker, Gertrude
Barron, Jules
Berry, Newall H.
Bills, Norman G.
Bills, Robert E.
Bindman, Arthur J.
Blair, Harry W.
Blau, Theodore H.
Cawdlear, Charles M.
Brandwin, Marvin A.
Bregman, Elaine B.
Broida, Daniel C.
Brown, Elizabeth M.
Canter, Arthur
Cantor, Joel M.
Carlton, Robert L.
Cartwright, Robert W.
Cass, William A. Jr.
Cassel, Russell N.
Charnes, George
Cheatham, Margaret J.
Chernow, Hyman M.
Cole, Joseph C.
Conant, James C.
Cook, Richard A.
Coppinger, Neil W.
Cummings, S. Thomas
Dakan, Everett A.
Darmstadter, Henry
Dixon, James C.
Dreger, Ralph M.
Dunn, Irving J.
Erwin, Edmond F.
Fielding, Benjamin
Fitzgerald, Bernard J.

Foster, Charles G.
Graham, Thomas F.
Gray, Jerome S.
Green, M. W.
Gruen, Walter
Guy, William H.
Haggerty, Arthur D.
Hanes, Bernard
Harlow, Justin E.
Harris, June T.
Heisler, Verda T.
Hereford, Carl F.
Hershenson, Jeanne R.
Hirsch, Ernest A.
Holmes, Frances B.
Horowitz, Milton J.
House, Joseph W.
Hurt, George R.
Janda, Earl J.
Jernigan, Austin J.
Jesness, Robert F.
Kandik, Alfred J.
Klebanoff, Lewis B.
Knopf, Irwin J.
Kobler, Arthur L.
Korda, Geraldine M.
Kostlan, Albert
Krinsky, Leonard W.
Kurland, Shabse H.
Laffal, Julius
Laskowitz, David
Levine, Harold A.
Lezak, Muriel D.
Lichtenstein, Irving C.
Light, Bernard H.
Little, Kenneth B.
Loeffler, Frank J.
Long, Lester W.
Longley, James L.
Loudenslager, Ellen W.

Lowe, Warner L.
Luft, Joseph
Lyons, Joseph
Malpass, Leslie F.
Masling, Joseph M.
Matarazzo, Joseph D.
McGuire, (John) Carson
McKeever, Madrigale M.
Meer, Samuel J.
Miller, Carmen
Moldawsky, Stanley
Montague, Ernest K.
Mussen, Paul H.
Newton, Richard L.
O'Neill, John J.
Orange, Arthur J.
Orgel, Sidney A.
Paine, Harold E.
Parks, Robert B.
Pollens, Bertram
Prentice, Norman M.
Rabkin, Barbara J.
Robison, Robert K.
Rohrer, James W.
Rosenberg, Louis M.
Rubin, Eliz Z.
Rubin, Harold
Salzman, Anne
Sanders, Joseph R.
Sanders, Richard
Saper, Bernard
Schneider, Bernard
Schonbar, Rosalea A.
Schrier, Harvey
Shane, S. Gerald
Silver, Reuben J.
Sommerville, Addison W.
Spiaggia, Martin
Spitzer, Paul S.
Sterne, Spencer B.

Stopol, Murray S.
 Stretch, Grace L.
 Swenson, Wendell M.
 Taffel, Charles
 Tallent, Norman
 Taulbee, Earl S.
 Terry, Dorothy

Tikuisis, Vincent
 Vargas, Manuel J.
 Wald, Charles
 Weinstein, Marvin S.
 Weir, John R.
 Weston, Caesar

Whitenack, Betty H.
 Wideman, Harley R.
 Winder, Alvin E.
 Wolf, Alvin
 Zatzkin, Joseph A.
 Zelen, Seymour L.

FINANCIAL STATEMENT - 1952 - 1953

I. BUDGET

	<u>Recommended Budget 1952-1953</u>	<u>Expenses to Date 1952-53</u>	<u>Recommended for 1953-54</u>
Secretary half-time	\$1500.00	\$1500.00	\$1500.00
Newsletters, Postage, Telephone & Telegraph	1750.00	1547.46	1750.00
Executive Committee Meetings	600.00	448.48	600.00
Committee Expenses	700.00	79.12	700.00
Printing, Stationery, Office Supplies, misc.	250.00	243.62	250.00
Contingency	300.00	500.00*	300.00
Secretary's Stipend	300.00	300.00	300.00
APA for collecting assessment	300.00	-- --	330.00
	<u>\$5700.00</u>	<u>\$4618.68</u>	<u>\$5730.00</u>
*Gift to the Building Fund			
Expenses of Advanced Training Institute	- - - -	<u>262.88</u>	
Total Expenditures 1952-53	- - - -	<u>\$4881.56</u>	

II. INCOME

<u>1952-53</u>		<u>Expected Income 1953-1954</u>	
Balance 1951-52	\$1294.74	APA Dues	\$1650.00
Income 1951-52 (Dues & Assess- ments)	68.00	Assessment	
Tuition 1952 Post-Doctoral Institute	50.00	580 Fellows @ 3.50	2030.00
Income 1952-53 (Dues & Assess- ments)	4661.80**	1070 Associates @ \$2.00	2140.00
Tuition 1953 Post-Doctoral Institute	<u>1150.00</u>		<u>\$5820.00</u>
Total Income 1952-53	\$7224.54		
Less Expenditures	<u>4881.56</u>		
BALANCE	\$2342.98		

** Income from APA Dues and Division Assessments less the charge of 20¢ per person charged by the APA for collecting these Dues and Assessments.

